

From: Martin, Timothy G.
To: Morgan, Ronald H.; Threatt, John B.; Lane, Steven H.;
Routh, Chuck
CC:
BCC:
Subject: ESS Implementation on H708{F}
Primary Date: 7/16/1998 3:05:06 PM
Last Modified Date: 1998-Jul-16 15:05:07
Last Touched Date:
Sent Date: 1998-Jul-16 15:05:06
Received DateDate: 1998-Jul-16 15:05:06

Attachments:

ESS Implementation.xls

-----Original Message-----

From: Philpot, Laura L.
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 1998 10:12 AM
To: Martin, Timothy G.; Simmons, Alan; Wilhelm, Douglas E.;
Caldwell, Alan L.
Cc: Moore, Kimberly (Missy); Frazer, John; Marsh, Don E.
Subject: ESS Implementation on H708

Folks,

I have reviewed the M&P process data during the implementation of ESS in H708. The following measurements were statistically different after the change.

	Before	After	Difference
MK_DTC Weight Controller Stops /MM	0.08	0.24	0.16
MK_REJ Loose End %	0.45	0.29	-0.16
MK_REJ Total %	1.79	1.53	-0.27
MK Avg Dense End Position (mm)	-0.49	-0.05	0.45

There don't appear to be any problems with maker machinability.

I pulled the MIE checks to determine the stem rates with and without ESS. They averaged 4.86 lb/hr without ESS and 4.36 lb/hr with ESS.

A baseline Phase III was started yesterday at Whitaker Park for H624 without ESS and we'll pick up samples after the

53201 1329

blend change is implemented next week.

The full datasets are attached for your reference. Please forward this to the appropriate parties. Thanks.

Laura

53201 1330